DELHI TECHNOLOGICAL UNIVERSITY

Estd. By Govt. of NCT of Delhi vide Act 6 of 2009 (Formerly: Delhi College of Engineering) Shahbad Daulatpur, Bawana Road, Delhi-110042

Annual Performance Assessment Report form
for
Officers of the Delhi Technological University
Joint Registrar/ Deputy Registrar/ Assistant Registrar/ Section Officer.
Name of Officer
Report for the year/Period ending

Name	of the Officer	Period
	DELHI TECHNOLOGICAL U Estd. By Govt. of NCT of Delhi vide A (Formerly: Delhi College of Engi Shahbad Daulatpur, Bawana Road	act 6 of 2009 neering)
	Form	
	al Performance Appraisal Report of Join ant Registrar/ Section Officer of the DTU.	nt Registrar/ Depty Registrar
Repor	rt for the year/period ending	
	PERSONAL DATA	
	be filled by the Administrative Section concerned o	f the Ministry/Department/Office)
1.	Name of the Officer	
2.	Date of Birth _(DD/MM/YYYY)	(In words)
3.	Date of continuous appointment to the present grade	Date Grade
4.	Present Post and date of appointment thereto	Post Date
5.	Period of absence from duty (on training, leave etc.) during the year. If he has under gone training specify.	

Name	of the Officer		Period
Part –		FILLED IN BY THE OFFICER REF carefully the instructions before filli	
1.	Brief description of du	ties.	
2.	for yourself or that we	objectives/goals (for quantitative over set for you, eight to ten items of against each target. (Example: Ann	work in the order of priority,
Tar	gets/Objectives/Goals	Achieve	ements



Name	of the	Officer	Period
3.	(A) referre	Please state, briefly, the shortfalls with reference to ed to in item 2. Please specify constraints, if any, in ac	
	(B)	Please also indicate items in which there have vements and your contribution thereto.	e been significantly higher
4.	calend	e state whether the annual return on immovable dar year was filled within the prescribed date i.e. 31 st .	January of the year following
	the ca	lendar year. If not, the date of filing the return should	be given.

Dated: Name of the Officer	Period
Part – 3	

Numerical grading is to be awarded by reporting and reviewing authority which should be on a scale of 1-10, where 1 refers to the lowest grade and 10 to the highest.

(Please read carefully the guidelines before filling the entries)

(A) Assessment of work output (weightage to this Section would be 40%)

		Reporting Authority	Reviewing Authority (Refer Para 2 of Part-5)	Initial of Reviewing Authority
i)	Accomplishment of planned work/work allotted as per subjects allotted			
ii)	Quality of output			
iii)	Analytical ability			
iv)	Accomplishment of exceptional work/unforeseen tasks performed			
Overall G	Grading on 'Work Output'			

(B) Assessment of personal attributes (weightage to this Section would be 30%)

		Reporting	Reviewing	Initial of Reviewing
		Authority	Authority	Authority
			(Refer Para 2 of Part-5)	
i)	Attitude of work			
ii)	Sense of responsibility			
iii)	Maintenance of Discipline			
iv)	Communication skills			
v)	Leadership qualities			
vi)	Capacity to work in team			
	spirit			
vii)	Capacity to work in time-			
	schedule			
viii)	Inter-personal relations			
ix)	Overall bearing and			
	personality			
Overall Gra	Overall Grading on 'Personal attributes'			

Name of	the Officer		Period	
(C)	Assessment of functional c	ompetency (w	veightage to this Se	ction would be 30%)
		Reporting Authority	Reviewing Authority (Refer Para 2 Part-5)	Initial of Reviewing Authority
i)	Professional knowledge in			
	the area of function			
ii)	Strategic planning ability			
iii)	Decision making ability			
iv)	Coordination ability			
v)	Ability to motivate and			
	develop subordinates			
vi)	Initiative			
	ading on 'Functional			
Competer	ncy'			
Part – 4	Part – 4 GENERAL			
 Relations with the public (wherever applicable) (Please comment on the Officer's accessibility to the public and responsiveness to their needs) 				

(Please give recommendations for training with a view to further improving the effectiveness

2. Training

and capabilities of the officer.)

Name of the Officer	Period
3. State of Health	
4. Integrity (Please comment on the integrity of the	e officer)
of the officer including area of	er (I about 100 words) on the overall qualities f strengths and lesser strength, extraordinary ares (ref: 3(A) & 3(B) of Part-2) and attitude
6. Overall numerical grading on the Part – 3 of the Report.	basis of weightage given in section A, B and C in
	Signature of the Reporting Officer
	Name in Block Letters:
Place:	Designation:
Date:	During the period of Report:

Name	e of the Officer	Period
Part -	-5	
	REMARKS OF THE REVIEWING OF	FICER
1.	Length of services under the Reviewing Officer	
2.	Do you agree with the assessment made by the reporting work output and the various attributes in Part -3 & Part assessment of reporting officer in respect of extraording failures of the officer reported upon? (Ref: Part-3 (A) (iv) and (In case you do not agree with any of the numerical assessments)	t -4? Do you agree with the lary achievements/significant Part-4 (5)) s of attributes please record your
	assessment in the column provided for you in that section and initials y	our entries).
	Tes No	
3.	In case of disagreement, please specify the reasons. Is there modify or add?	e anything you wish to
4.	Pen Picture by Reviewing Officer. Please comment (in aborqualities of the officer including area of strengths and lestowards weaker sections.	•

Name of the Officer	Period
5. Overall numerical grading Section-C in Part-3 of the F	g on the basis of weightage given in Section- A, Section-B and Report.
	Signature of the Reviewing Officer
	Name in Block Letters:
Place:	Designation:

Date:

During the period of Report:

Guidelines regarding filling up of APAR with numerical grading

- (i) The columns in the APAR should be filled with due care and attention and after devoting adequate time.
- (ii) It is expected that any grading of 1 or 2 (against work output or attributes or overall grade) would be adequately justified in the pen-picture by way of specific failures and similarly, any grade of 9 or 10 would be justified with respect to specific accomplishments. Grades of 1-2 or 9-10 are expected to be rare occurrences and hence the need to justify them. In awarding a numerical grade the reporting and reviewing authorities should rate the officer against a larger population of his/her peers that may be currently working under them.
- (iii) APARs graded between 8 and 10 will be rated as "Outstanding" and will be given a score of 9 for the purpose of calculating average scores for empanelment/promotion.
- (iv) APARs graded between 6 and short of 8 will be rated as "Very Good" and will be given a score of 7.
- (v) APARs graded between 4 and short of 6 will be rated as "Good" and given a score of 5.
- (vi) APARs graded below 4 will be given a score of "Zero".